

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,783	09/22/2003	Yun-Chung Lee	J2P3005-P1679US	9011	
75	90 05/26/2005		EXAMINER ·		
Yun-Chung L	ee		DURAND	DURAND, PAUL R	
235 Chung-Ho Box 8-24			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Taipei,			3721	3721	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 05/26/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10 A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
Advisory Action	10/666,783	LEE, YUN-CHUNG	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Durand	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repliance	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul>		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	IRST REPLY WAS FILE	DWITHIN IWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection.	The appropriate extension of inal Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	ensideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		. the incurs for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially for	educing or simplifying	) the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	i (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	i): ollowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amends	nent canceling
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable il submitted in a separate	s, timery med amendin	ient cancening
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b)      vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	onea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. A Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Rinaldi I. Rada
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's new claim 7 appear to be old claim 6, with the limitations moved from one section of the claim to another. Furthermore, applicant's states that new claim 7 is a combination of previously cancelled claims 1-5. The examiner asserts that the claim does not place the claim in condition for allowance, since it is nothing more than a rearranged old claim and would be rejected under the previous prior art.